





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

,		1		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/537,416	03/29/2000	Yoshiki Takashima	Q58481	6832
1	7590 07/25/2002	; ;		
Sughrue Mion Zinn MacPeak & Seas PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue N W Washington, DC 20037-3213			EXAMINER	
			MARX, IRENE	
Washington,	, DC 20037-3213	į		
7		1,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
}			1651	
			DATE MAILED: 07/25/2002	16
				12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 09/537,416

Applicant(s)

Takashima et al.

Examiner

Office Action Summary

Irene Marx

Art Unit 1651



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
	for Reply					
THE N	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
mailing	- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.					
- If NO p - Failure - Any rej	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6) MON the application to become A	NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 31, 2	2002				
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) X This act	tion is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance eclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex pair					
	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) 2-4 and 9-22		is/are pending in the application.			
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	-A+P	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) 2-4 and 9-22		is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are objected to.			
8) 🗌	Claims	are su	bject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	tion Papers		·			
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗆 accepted o	$^{\circ}$ r b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.			
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	Irawing(s) be held ir	n abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)	$oxed{\Box}$ approved b) $oxed{\Box}$ disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office action	ı <b>.</b>			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.				
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
•	All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:					
_	1. X Certified copies of the priority documents have					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have					
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the</li> </ol>	au (PCT Rule 17.2	?(a)).			
<ul> <li>14)</li></ul>						
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
_	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		5) Notice of Informal	Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) [] Info	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1651

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 2-4 and 9-22 are pending and are considered on the merits.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2-4 and 9-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

At least claims 10, 11, 12, 16, and 17 are confusing in the recitation "producing from an optical isomer of an amino acid represented...". Neither the reactants nor the products produced are clearly identified.

Claims 2-4 and 9-22 are incomplete in the absence of a recovery step for the product produced.

While there is no specific rule or statutory requirement which specifically addresses the need for a recovery step in a process of preparing a composition, it is clear from the record and would be expected from conventional preparation processes that the product must be isolated or recovered. Thus, the claims fail to particularly point out and distinctly claim the "complete" process since the recovery step is missing from the claims. The metes and bounds of the claimed process are therefore not clearly established or delineated.

The product(s) produced in the claimed invention is/are not clearly identified.

Claims 16-18 are vague indefinite and confusing in that it is unclear what is intended to be encompassed by "reacting a biological material which has an ability of converting". The nature of the "biological activity" is not identified and it is unclear what constitutes "an ability" in this context.

The claims are confusing and inconsistent in the recitation "(l)" when (I) appears to be intended at line 3. In claim 18 is the compound (I) an optical isomer? How is it altered?

Claim 18 is vague, indefinite and confusing in the recitation "with a racemic mixture ...,

wherein the mixture is not a racemic mixture". This appears inconsistent and contradictory.

Claims 13-15 are vague, indefinite and confusing in that the nature of the "improved" optical purity cannot be determined. When a word of degree is used as a limitation, it is necessary to determine whether the specification provides some standard for measuring that degree. See *Seattle Box Company, Inc. V. Industrial Crating & Packing, Inc.*, 731 F.2d 818, 221 USPQ 568 (Fed. Cir. 1984). In this case, the specification does not enable one skilled in the art to reasonably establish what may be construed as being within the metes and bounds of the word of degree. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would not be apprised as to the claimed invention's scope when the claims are read in light of the specification. See *Ex parte Oetiker*, 23 USPQ2d 1641. In addition, the claims do not set forth with any particularity whether or not an enantiomer is produced.

The claims are allowable over the art of record because prior art does not fairly teach or suggest the invention. The process steps used in the prior art differ from those claimed herein. There would have been no motivation for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the processes of the prior art relating to production of optical isomers by using strains belonging to the recited genera or species and which are resistant to an amino acid transferase inhibitor in the manner claimed.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Irene Marx whose telephone number is (703) 308-2922. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30 AM to 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn, can be reached on (703) 308-4743. The appropriate fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is before final (703) 872-9306 and after final, (703) 872-9307.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Customer Service whose telephone number is (703) 308-0198 or the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Yellow Irene Marx

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1651